RECEIVED

MAY 2 8 1993

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIO
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of)		1
The Accounting and Ratemaking	,	CC Docket No. 93-50	
Treatment for the Allowance for	j j		
Funds Used During Construction)	•	
(AFUDC))		

REPLY COMMENTS OF AMERITECH

Ameritech¹ submits these reply comments in response to the Commission's notice of proposed rulemaking in the above docket,² focusing on issues raised by MCI in its initial comments.

MCI asks not only for a return to the status quo for long-term plant under construction (i.e., the capitalization method with AFUDC capitalized at the prime rate), but also for the imposition of the capitalization method for short-term plant under construction as well. MCI's primary arguments appear to be that including any plant under construction in the rate base — even if AFUDC is capitalized and removed from the revenue requirement — will require current ratepayers to pay for projects which benefit only future ratepayers and is "contrary to the Commission's goal of ensuring 'low cost' communication service." Both arguments are faulty.

First of all, as MCI points out, the assumption at the time the Commission changed from the revenue requirement offset method to the capitalized method

No. of Copies rec'd 2+5 List A B C D E

¹ In this filing, Ameritech means: Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone, Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company, and Wisconsin Bell, Inc.

² In the Matter of The Accounting and Ratemaking Treatment for the Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC), CC Docket No. 93-50, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 93-126 (released March 22, 1993) ("NPRM").

	in Docket No. 19129 is that long-term construction projects typically took five
ه.	A PARKETING TALES IN HIST RATE REPORTED TO THE STATE OF T
· •	
<u> </u>	-
÷	
• •	
 T. 1	
Same.	
<u></u>	
- - 	
_	l .
R.	
•	
k -	
. •.	
<u>k</u> . =	
· .	
·	
•	
<u> </u>	
January & Commission	
<u> </u>	
To part of the same of the sam	

In short, as Ameritech pointed out in its comments, the Commission's proposal achieves a result that is fair to investors, consistent with GAAP and, as noted in Ameritech's original petition, produces lower costs for ratepayers in the long run.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael S. Pabian

Attorney for Ameritech

Room 4H76

2000 West Ameritech Center Drive

Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

(708) 248-6044

Dated: May 28, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Linda Gehard, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing pleading has been served to all parties on the attached service list by first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 14th day of May, 1993.

Linda Gehard

Edward D. Young, III Christopher W. Savage Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Robert W. Sterrett, Jr. M. Robert Sutherland 4300 Southern Bell Center 675 West Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30375

William E. Wyrough, Jr. Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399 Carol R. Schultz MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1800 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20006

Linda Gardner Missour Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 David Cosson Steven E. Watkins National Telephone Cooperative Association 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Richard A. Askoff National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc. 100 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07891 Mary McDermott
Campbell L. Ayling
New England Telephone and
Telegraph Company and New York
Telephone Company
120 Bloomingdale Road
White Plains, NY 10605

Rochelle D. Jones
The Southern New England
Telephone Company
227 Church Street - 4th Floor
New Haven, CT 06506

James E. Taylor Richard C. Hartgrove Bruce E. Beard Southwestern Bell Telephone Company 1010 Pine Street, Room 2114 St. Louis, MO 63101 Scot Cullen
Public Service Commission
of Wisconsin
4802 Sheboygan Avenue
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854